

REGULAR MEETING OF THE TOWN BOARD
TOWN OF GLENVILLE
JUNE 20, 2012
AT THE GLENVILLE MUNICIPAL CENTER
18 GLENRIDGE ROAD, GLENVILLE, NEW YORK

Supervisor Koetzle called the meeting to order at 7:30 PM;

Supervisor Koetzle asked the Town Clerk, Linda C. Neals, to call the roll.

Present: Supervisor Christopher A. Koetzle, Councilmen John C. Pytlovany, Sid Ramotar and Councilwoman Gina M. Wierzbowski

Absent: Councilman Alan Boulant

Also present were Attorney Michael Cuevas, Director of Operations James MacFarland and Planner Kevin Corcoran.

Supervisor Koetzle – “Item #7 on the agenda, Greens Corners One Room School House annual report has been tabled due to an illness.

Town Council Reports:

Councilman Ramotar – “The initial meeting of the reformed Glenville Business and Professional Association was held last night at Richmor Aviation. It goals are to help businesses form and to stay professional in Glenville, providing employment opportunities for returning veterans, promoting Glenville businesses and to help conduct the annual Oktoberfest.

The Glenville Efficiency in Government Committee (EIG) held its first meeting on June 8th. Mary Lolik and Larry MacArthur agreed to chair the committee. Their next scheduled meeting will be Friday, June 22nd. The Committee is looking at the 2010 recommendations of the EIG and the Performance Self Analysis Program that Dave Tomasky spearheaded.

Maalywck Park is back to full functionally after the Canal Corp. recently completed restorations of the areas that were damaged when the storms Irene and Lee hit last year.”

Supervisor Koetzle – “Item #5 is a public hearing to consider proposed amendments to the Code of the Town of Glenville, Chapter 270, “Zoning Ordinance” involving the addition of definitions for the terms “micro-brewery” and “micro-winery” and adding “micro-breweries” and “micro-wineries” to the list of uses allowed by site plan review in permitted zoning districts.”

Supervisor Koetzle opened the public hearing at 7:35 pm.

Jordon White, 1612 W. Glenville Road – “The plans for the brewery, we would like to see something very small, something that would service just the local area. There would be no on-site consumption and we would sell only for off-site consumption. We had a meeting with some folks in the Town and we had probably 25 or 30 folks from just the local area. We posted a sign out in front of the Grange for about a week prior to the meeting and we also sent out a notice to everyone within one-thousand feet of the premises as well. We had a really positive response with everybody that was there, we answered questions, and we outlined the general basics of our plan. The biggest question that we got from people was when are you going to open? They seemed very excited about the possibility of us being there, both myself and the business partner that I am working with are members of the local community and they support us. We are looking forward to being able to provide them a service as well.”

Supervisor Koetzle – “Although no residents have voiced their concern, our friends at the Gazette or their editors seem to have a concern with the volume of

beer being produced. The second point they brought up was that they felt that we didn't do an adequate job at reaching out to the community in that area and you being in that community might have some insights on it as well."

Mr. White – "As far as the volume produced, we would consider ourselves tremendously successful if we were able to approach 40 barrels per year. That would be off the chart as far as success goes for us. The system that we are proposing to brew on would brew a half barrel of beer at a time. We are proposing in some of our initial projections, which of course nothing is finalized yet, we are looking at maybe doing about 4 batches per week so that puts us at just under 2 barrels, maybe not even that much.

The meeting that we had as I said we had posted a sign on the sandwich board located at the Grange a week in advance of the meeting which was held on May 1st. We are familiar with the community because we live there and we realize that anybody that would be affected by this business being in the community would be somebody who would go by there in the course of a week. Just the sign itself was reaching out but also we contacted some folks here at the Town Offices and asked for a list of all the people within 500 feet and we were advised to go to 1000 feet to be safe. We wrote up an invitation to our meeting and we sent it out to all within 1000 feet. We also announced it at the church and the fire hall, both of the organizations in town that are also significant. We feel as though in that course of that week that anybody who the business would affect would have been aware of the meeting.

We are two members of the community ourselves. We value so much about the small town feel of West Glenville and we are not seeking to change that or damage that in anyway. We just want to add something that can be specific and unique to West Glenville."

No one else wished to speak; Supervisor Koetzle closed the public hearing at 7:40 pm.

Supervisor Koetzle – "Item #6 is a public hearing to hear all persons interested in a proposed amendment to the Town of Glenville Zoning Map for, it says 10.52 acres but it is actually a 15 acre site located on Dutch Meadows Lane."

Mike Tucker, Creighton Manning Engineering – "Currently the area is zoned *General Business and Professional/Residential*, the proposal is to basically down zone that to *Multi-Family Residential*. This is in keeping with the master plan and gets away from the big box commercial development that the Freemans Bridge Road master plan has stated goals. In addition it does encourage a mix of housing including multi-family and it protects the natural features. This change in zoning will allow the proposed project which is a two (2) phase development for senior apartments. It consists of a 104-unit independent living senior apartment building and a 92-unit assisted living facility. The first phase of the project would be the 104-unit independent living building."

Supervisor Koetzle – "On the part with the 104-units do you see any future development in that buffer?"

Mr. Tucker – "No, there is none planned. The area in question is wetlands so we are not proposing anything there."

Supervisor Koetzle – "Your time frame would be to start construction of phase 1 this year?"

Mr. Tucker – "I think the goal is that we would start as soon as we got through the preliminary process. We would not start in the dead of winter if that was the case."

Supervisor Koetzle – "So essentially, it could be opened by 2013, and when do you see phase 2 coming in?"

Mr. Tucker – “I think that would be more demand driven.”

Supervisor Koetzle – “One of the concepts we spoke to Baptist about a while ago, when they were proposing their plan, was it was important to them to have commercial development on the land as well, like a beauty shop or a doctors office or something that would be convenient for the seniors to access without leaving the property, is that part of this model at all?”

Adam DeSantis, Glenwyck Development – “Yes, we want to have a number of services available right on site, a beauty salon, an indoor swimming pool, a gym those types of facilities. Probably not a doctor’s office just because the needs of residents are so varied, however we would plan to provide transportation services to shopping and doctors for people who no longer drive, or quite frankly in the winter time when it is more convenient to get on a warm bus. We would have those services certainly available.”

Supervisor Koetzle – “So if it was a salon or a general store or something, would that, Kevin, fit into the zone that we would be making this change for?”

Kevin Corcoran, Town Planner – “As long as it is part of the facility design.”

Mr. DeSantis – “It’s not likely that we would not lease any of the space out to providers, it would be really provided as an opportunity for our residents. Rather than having leased space and increasing overhead for the provider we would give the space and then residents would be charged for salon services and what not.”

Councilwoman Wierzbowski – “Have you been in contact with the local fire department and ambulance services or make arrangements with the assisted living as far as your needs or your expectations with regards to residents that might have medical issues?”

Mr. DeSantis – “We’ve not, that would be a little farther on but certainly that would be something that we would do.”

No one else wished to speak; Supervisor Koetzle closed the public hearing at 7:48 pm.

The following people exercised the privilege of the floor:

James Valachovic, 35 Joyous Lane – Mr. Valachovic reported on a couple of activities that he has been involved in. The Saratoga Economic Development Council had a breakfast in Mechanicville talking about the revitalization in Mechanicville and how they took \$200,000 in seed money and turned it into about \$1,200 worth of investments in Mechanicville. Mr. Valachovic stated that he was interested in being part of the Glenville Business Professional Association (GBPA) and the Small Business and Economic Development Committee (SBED), the Economic Development Corporation, and he wanted to see if we were doing the same things that they were doing. He was able to discern we have it set up just like they do. We have a very active board and we have a SBED that is moving forward. He stated that we have all of the tools in place.

Mr. Valachovic stated that first is the revitalization of GBPA. He referred to Councilman Ramotar saying small steps. Mr. Valachovic said the second step is going to be the LDC (Local Development Corporation) to help repay businesses and attract new ones here in Glenville. He stated that the SBED, the GBPA and the LDC will be working closely with the Board, we have a great bunch of talented people and they are up for the task and we are looking forward to it. We are looking forward also to communicate with the Board members on our successes. He thinks the community will be well served by these efforts.

John Tine, 215 Broad Street – Mr. Tine stated he came to the meeting to advocate for the repaving of Broad Street, Item # 16 on the agenda.

He stated that the feed back that he was getting from all parties involved was that this needs to be done. He asked the Board to consider the following; the negative effect that the condition of the road is now having on the homeowner's property values; brand new driveways are deteriorating when they shouldn't; it is a major thoroughfare in the mornings and the afternoons for Sacandaga Elementary School and it is simply getting to the point where it is a safety hazard. Mr. Tine mentioned that this project has been put off numerous times and the cost of completing the project which sooner or later has to be done only grows year after year, after year. Mr. Tine hopes this can finally be taken care of so that the safety issues primarily can be put to rest. He urged the Board to authorize this resolution.

No one else wished to exercise the privilege of the floor.

Supervisor Koetzle shared the following information:

I attended the Pashley School DARE graduation today. It is a great program and the effect this program has had on people is obvious. I hear nothing but great things from the parents, the students and the teachers about how important the program is. Councilman Pytlovany is involved in the DARE Advisory Board, Officer Kevin Dunbar has done a phenomenal job, and Chief Ranalli was also in attendance as well as Lt. Conley and Lt. Janack.

I attended the Mohawk Ambulance ribbon cutting along with Councilman Ramotar. This is a great addition to the Town; their response time is expected to be much better than in the past. We welcome them.

I would like to remind everyone that we will be having a special town board meeting on June 27th at 7:30 pm with three items of business. The first is to appoint a public safety dispatcher, the second is to appoint three police officers and the third is the approval of the Value Payment Systems for credit card tax collection services in the receiver's office.

These are all replacements due to retirements that happen this year or late last year.

We have been meeting with lighting service providers as well as doing our own analysis in order to plan for lighting and sidewalk improvements in the Town Center. The improvements in this area are planned to occur through formation of service districts. The challenge that we have is to make these improvements in our current tax cap environment. Unfortunately the 2% tax cap is very unfriendly for making investments in your community and it ends up resulting in an increase in tax on a special district levy. All though residents would not be paying this levy it still goes against your tax, so there is a challenge there of how we are going to try and create these districts without breaking the cap. We are researching grants through consolidated funding application in the State as well as NYSERDA and we have even had a conversation with Metroplex because we believe it fits perfectly with Metroplex's vision.

Attorney Cuevas, Jamie MacFarland and I recently met with our current health insurance broker as well as another insurance provider to examine opportunities to continue to reduce the Town's health insurance cost.

The Small Business and Economic Development Committee will be meeting tomorrow at 7:30 am.

While we are on the Local Development Corporation (LDC) just for a moment we had a letter to the editor from a resident and I want to clarify just a few things. The LDC, the non-profit organization, independent of the Town, the Town, right now, has a business within the Town that has block grant money from the State of New York that was given to that business to create jobs. That business is not paying that loan off, the principal from that loan goes back to the Town, and the Town has two

options; to return it to the State of New York for small cities and then that would be taken out of Glenville and used somewhere else; another municipality would benefit from that, \$565,000 will be coming back to the Town of Glenville. We think, more wisely, that that would be money better used here in the Town of Glenville. In order to do that we would have to come up with a project that would then be used as a revolving loan fund to invest in low to moderate income jobs here in the Town of Glenville. So, we now have \$565,000 of non-property tax dollar money, non town money, coming from the State that can be used in this LDC as a revolving loan fund to invest in new jobs in the businesses in the Town of Glenville. What the SBED is looking to do is to create two functions of this fund, one is a micro-loan fund to help small businesses and the other one is to help them finance projects. All meant to increase commerce and jobs in the Town of Glenville. Why we want to do it through the non-profit is because the non-profit has more flexibility in making these decisions and we are protecting the Town against any loss if the loan wasn't paid or something there are no Town resources at stake.

Just to clarify, this isn't taxpayer dollars that comes out of property taxes; this is State money that either comes to us or goes back to the State and used else where. I support it and I look forward to getting it done."

Councilman Pytlovany – "I have a comment on the DARE program, I was an instructor for the Village of Scotia for three (3) years teaching the program at Sacandaga School and Lincoln School. I just want to say one of the best things about this program is the rapport that is built up between the police officer and the students. When I was in grade school the officer came in once a year and told you to stay away from strangers and that was all you knew about police officers. With the DARE program it is a sixteen week program and the officer is there every week for 45 minutes. It is a great program and I am glad we have it here in the Town of Glenville."

RESOLUTION NO. 110-2012

Moved by: Councilwoman Wierzbowski

Seconded by: Councilman Ramotar

WHEREAS, a written petition has been presented to and filed with the Town Clerk of the Town of Glenville requesting an extension to Sewer District No.9 of the Town of Glenville be established to include real property described by section, block and lot number in the petition and consisting of one parcel located East of Wilson Drive and Lincoln Drive and West of the Schenectady County Airport in the Town of Glenville; and

WHEREAS, a revised map, plan and report prepared by Lansing Engineering, Professional Engineers with a date of June 2010 and revised date of May 2012, was been filed in the office of the Town Clerk of the Town of Glenville; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Town Board of the Town of Glenville hereby adopts the following order:

ORDER

1. A petition to add an Extension to Sewer District No. 9 from an existing manhole in Wilson Drive to the premises, three parcels, totaling 39.39 acres, located East of Wilson Drive and Lincoln Drive and West of the Schenectady County Airport has been filed with the Town Clerk on or about April 10, 2012.
2. The real property to be included in the extension is described by section, block and lot number as identified on the Schenectady County Real Property Tax Service Agency Tax Map as: 22.15-3-28, 22-1-8.1, and 22-1-24.
3. The improvements proposed are the installation of an eight (8") inch sewer main and lateral connections to service 13 residential apartment buildings containing 156 units and to accommodate future build-out which may include 120 additional residential units and commercial space. The new main connecting to the existing ten (10") main in Wilson Drive along the route described in "1" as indicated

above.

4. The amount proposed to be expended for the extension is approximately \$182,000.00 to be borne entirely by the developer.
5. No portion of the cost of this extension will be attributable to Sewer District No.9.
6. The estimated annual cost to the typical property is:
 - a. District: \$0.00
 - b. O & M: \$481.80.
7. The map, plan and report describing this extension to Sewer District 9 regarding this potential extension is on file in the Town Clerk's Office and may be inspected by members of the public.
8. The proposed financing method to be employed in the construction of this connection in this sewer district extension is private financing by the developer.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Town Board of the Town of Glenville will hold a public hearing on the petition to establish this extension to Sewer District No. 9 on July 18, 2012 at 7:30 PM or as soon thereafter as the matter can be reached, at the Glenville Municipal Center at 18 Glenridge Road, Glenville, New York to hear all persons interested in the establishment of this extension to the sewer district; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a copy of this order certified by the Town Clerk shall be published at least once in the official newspaper of the Town and that said publication be not less than ten nor more than twenty days before the July 18, 2012 public hearing date.

Ayes: Councilmen Pytlovany, Ramotar, Councilwoman Wierzbowski and Supervisor Koetzle
Noes: None
Absent: Councilman Boulant
Abstention: None

Motion Carried

RESOLUTION NO. 111-2012

Moved by: Councilwoman Wierzbowski
Seconded by: Councilman Ramotar

WHEREAS, the Water and Sewer User Fee Roll of the Town of Glenville has been approved for collection as of June 1, 2012; and

WHEREAS, such warrant for Water District #11 totals \$1,497,396.30 including water rents receivables, Special Contracts and Sewer,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Town Board of the Town of Glenville hereby accepts such roll.

Ayes: Councilmen Pytlovany, Ramotar, Councilwoman Wierzbowski and Supervisor Koetzle
Noes: None
Absent: Councilman Boulant
Abstentions: None

Motion Carried

RESOLUTION NO. 112-2012

Moved by: Councilman Ramotar
Seconded by: Councilwoman Wierzbowski

WHEREAS, the town of Glenville wishes to support the activities of organizations that serve veterans and veteran's needs, and

WHEREAS, expenditure of town funds for veteran's organizations and the services they provide are authorized under Town Law,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, the Town Board of the Town of Glenville does hereby authorize a \$500 budgeted expenditure from the Town of Glenville account # 01.00.6510.4000 to the V.F.W. Post 4660, Mayfair/Glenville.

Ayes: Councilmen Pytlovany, Ramotar, Councilwoman Wierzbowski, Supervisor Koetzle
Noes: None
Absent: Councilman Boulant
Abstention: None

Motion Carried

RESOLUTION NO. 113-2012

Moved by: Councilman Ramotar
Seconded by: Councilwoman Wierzbowski

WHEREAS, the Town Board of the Town of Glenville budgeted \$35,000 in appropriations for 2012 for the purpose of supporting local business retention in Glenville, an appropriation commonly referred to as the REDI (Revitalization Economic Development Investment) Fund (budget line item 02.00.1445.4500); and

WHEREAS, of the \$35,000 budgeted in the 2012 REDI fund, the Town Board originally earmarked \$12,000 of this funding for a second round of the *Sign Replacement Grant Program*, to address leftover demand from the 2011 sign grant program; and

WHEREAS, for the 2012 round of the sign program, the Town has received grant applications from nine different businesses, seeking a total of \$22,148.10 in funding; and

WHEREAS, given both the number and quality of sign grant applications received for 2012, the Town Board is desirous of increasing the amount of REDI funding targeted to the 2012 sign grant program;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Town Board hereby increases the amount of 2012 REDI funding dedicated to the *Sign Replacement Grant Program* from \$12,000 to \$16,000, with funding to be distributed in equal amounts to all grant applicants who have submitted eligible projects.

Ayes: Councilmen Pytlovany, Ramotar, Councilwoman Wierzbowski and Supervisor Koetzle
Noes: None
Absents: Councilman Boulant
Abstentions: None

Motion Carried

RESOLUTION NO. 114-2012

Moved by: Councilwoman Wierzbowski
Seconded by: Councilman Ramotar

WHEREAS, the Town of Glenville has made changes in funding requirements for three departments, the Receiver of Taxes, Town Clerk, and Control of Animals, and

WHEREAS, the Glenville Town Board wishes to address these changes in

order to provide for accurate accounting and 2012 budget projections, and

WHEREAS, these budgetary changes do not require use of fund balance or unbudgeted monies,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Glenville Town Board hereby authorizes the following budgetary transfers:

Expense:

01.00.1330.1000 decreased by \$12,000 Personal Service (Tax Receiver)

01.00.1410.1000 increased by \$12,000 Personal Service (Town Clerk)

01.00.1410.1000 decreased by \$20,000 Personal Service (Town Clerk)

01.00.3510.1000 increased by \$20,000 Contractual Services (Control of Animals)

Ayes: Councilmen Pytlovany, Ramotar, Councilwoman Wierzbowski and Supervisor Koetzle

Noes: None

Absent: Councilman Boulant

Abstentions: None

Motion Carried

RESOLUTION NO. 115-2012

Moved by: Councilwoman Wierzbowski

Seconded by: Councilman Ramotar

WHEREAS, a zoning map amendment application has been submitted by the Animal Protective Foundation to change the zoning of their property at 53 Maple Avenue (tax map # 30.-1-4) from *Research/Development/Technology* to *General Business*; and

WHEREAS, the Animal Protective Foundation's operation is a nonconforming use because veterinary clinics, kennels, animal hospitals, etc. are not permitted within the *Research/Development/Technology* zoning district; and

WHEREAS, the purpose of the zoning map amendment is to allow the Animal Protective Foundation to pursue construction of a 2,214 sq. ft. addition to establish an on-site spay and neuter clinic; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to New York State Town Law, zoning map amendment applications require a public hearing on the part of the Town Board;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Glenville Town Board hereby schedules a public hearing for Wednesday, July 18, 2012 at 7:30 p.m., or as shortly thereafter as possible, at the Town of Glenville Municipal Center, to consider comments on the proposed zoning map amendment application by the Animal Protective Foundation for their property located at 53 Maple Avenue.

Ayes: Councilmen Pytlovany, Ramotar, Councilwoman Wierzbowski and Supervisor Koetzle

Noes: None

Absents: Councilman Boulant

Abstentions: None

Motion Carried

Discussion...

Supervisor Koetzle – "I have communicated with many residents on this, I have been supportive of the project but concerned about the funding mechanism. Essentially our budget is in January and the Village budget is in June so we are a little

off kilter on budgeting. Unfortunately there wasn't a coordination of this road between their budget and our budget. So one of the parties' planned for it and one of the parties' didn't plan for it. We did put into the budget about \$300,000 which was based on a plan that did not include this project so the Highway Superintendent and I had a conversation that he is free to pave whatever he likes within the budgeted amount, so from that point of view we support it. We were never opposed to it, it just was a question of how do we get there if it wasn't in the payment plan for this year. We all have acknowledged that the Town of Glenville has not historically kept up on it's paving and that is a problem and that if something that I know Councilwoman Wierzbowski, Superintendent Coppola and I have talked about a lot is that we have got to get back there and I think we are investing in that. I am a little concerned at the cost estimates, I think when we first talked about this we talked about \$84,000 being our share; we have to see what it comes in at. If this comes in at \$210,000 or higher what is our mechanism for

Attorney Cuevas – "In the Inter-municipal Agreement that you would be authorizing both the Village and the Town was as you would jointly open the bids and the Town Highway Superintendent would be able to attend the bid opening and if the bids were too high then we would have the option to reject the bid. Either party can do that because the Village is concerned about the cost of the project as well."

Supervisor Koetzle – "I am very supportive of the project, it is just the question of how do we get there and is it affordable for this budget. Let's hope for the best that the bids come in where we hope they are going to come in."

Councilman Pytlovany – "We had originally planned to repave this road and when the engineers took a look at it they identified some drainage issues in the area and they were talking about re-grading the road and then paving it so it's not just putting a cover of blacktop over the road or taking up 6 inches and putting it down. It's turned into a much bigger project."

Councilwoman Wierzbowski – "That speaks to our difficulties with our paving program in past years where it may not have worked out where there are roads that are truly in need of attention much sooner and then they deteriorate to the point where now they have to be completely rebuilt and you have the drainage issues and deterioration of the driveways. Our Highway Superintendent has been working very hard over the last couple of years and we only have a certain amount of money and there are so many roads that have deteriorated to the point that they need much more work than they would have."

RESOLUTION NO. 116-2012

Moved by: Councilwoman Wierzbowski

Seconded by: Councilman Ramotar

WHEREAS, Broad Street is a municipal boundary line between the Village of Scotia and the Town of Glenville, with the line running down the center line of said street; and

WHEREAS, Broad Street and a portion of Schermerhorn Street are in need of re-grading, repaving and drainage improvement according to an engineering report of John M. McDonald Engineering, PC; and

WHEREAS, it is in the best interests of the Village and the Town to jointly undertake this project through the use of an inter-municipal agreement, authorized by General Municipal Law section 119-0; and

WHEREAS, the parties have obtained project cost estimate of \$210,000.00 and reserve the right to reject all bids if the bids on the project exceed the estimate;

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Town Board of the Town of Glenville hereby authorizes the Supervisor to enter into an inter-municipal agreement

with the Village of Scotia for the re-grading, paving and drainage improvements to the entire length of Broad Street and the continuation of Schermerhorn Street, with the express provision that the Town may reject bids that exceed the project estimate.

Ayes: Councilmen Pytlovany, Ramotar, Councilwoman Wierzbowski and Supervisor Koetzle
Noes: None
Absent: Councilman Boulant
Abstention: None

Motion Carried

RESOLUTION NO. 117-2012

Moved by: Councilman Ramotar
Seconded by: Councilwoman Wierzbowski

BE IT RESOLVED that the **Monthly Departmental Reports** for May, 2012 as received from the following:

Assessor's Department
Dog Control
Economic Development & Planning Department
Justice Department
Town Clerk's Office

be, and they hereby are accepted, approved for payment and ordered placed on file.

Ayes: Councilmen Pytlovany, Ramotar, Councilwoman Wierzbowski and Supervisor Koetzle
Noes: None
Absent: Councilman Boulant
Abstentions: None

Motion Carried

RESOLUTION NO. 118-2012

Moved by: Councilman Ramotar
Seconded by: Councilwoman Wierzbowski

BE IT RESOLVED, that the minutes of the regular meeting held on May 16, 2012 are hereby approved and accepted as entered.

Ayes: Councilmen Pytlovany, Ramotar, Councilwoman Wierzbowski and Supervisor Koetzle
Noes: None
Absent: Councilman Boulant
Abstentions: None

Motion Carried

Supervisor Koetzle asked for a motion to adjourn; motion to adjourn was Moved by Councilman Pytlovany; Seconded by Councilwoman Wierzbowski, everyone being in favor, the meeting was adjourned at 8:25 PM.

ATTEST:

Linda C. Neals
Town Clerk